

Nottingham City Council

Audit Progress Report and Sector Updates

July 2024



Summary

Your key Grant Thornton team members are:

Andrew Smith

Key Audit Partner

T: +44 (0)161 953 6472

E: andrew.j.smith@uk.gt.com

Helen Lillington

Director

T: +44 (0)121 232 5312

E: helen.m.Lillington@uk.gt.com

Mary Wren

Senior Manager

T: +44 (0)121 232 5354

E: mary.wren@uk.gt.com

Audit Progress

This paper provides the Audit Committee with a report on progress in delivering our responsibilities as your external auditors. Further details covering the 2019/20 to 2023/24 financial years are included on pages 4-6 of this report.

Sector update

The paper also includes a series of sector updates in respect of these emerging issues which the Committee may wish to consider.

Members of the Audit Committee can find further useful material on our website, where we have a section dedicated to our work in the public sector. Here you can download copies of our publications:

<https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/industries/public-sector/local-government/>

If you would like further information on any items in this briefing, or would like to register with Grant Thornton to receive regular email updates on issues that are of interest to you, please contact either your Engagement Lead or Engagement Manager.

Progress update

2019/20 progress update	Deliverable	Reporting timeline
<p>The Council have provided revised financial statements for review. We are currently finalising this review to ensure the amendments made to the draft accounts are in line with the findings from our audit work. We anticipate reporting our audit findings to the Audit Committee at its meeting in September 2024.</p>	2019/20 Audit Findings Report	September 2024 *
2020/21, 2021/22 and 2022/23 progress update	Deliverable	Reporting timeline
<p>The audit of the above years have not commenced due to the issues encountered during the 2019/20 audit.</p> <p>Although the implementation of the audit backlog proposals have been delayed due to the recent general election, it is anticipated that these proposals we be implemented albeit with a later backstop date. Currently, it is proposed these financial year audits will be subject to the statutory backstop but this decision will be revisited once MHCLG have confirmed their plans to address the audit backlog. A further update is included on page 7.</p>	Auditors Report (includes opinion on your financial statements)	TBC – awaiting guidance from MHCLG

*The planned dates are subject to national timetables, agreement with officers and unforeseen technical issues that may arise during the audit period.

Progress update

2023/24 progress update	Deliverable	Reporting timeline
<p>Audit Plan</p> <p>We are required to issue a detailed audit plan to the Audit Committee setting out our proposed approach in order to give an opinion on the Council's 2023/24 financial statements.</p> <p>Audit planning is an iterative process, however, in order to issue an initial Audit Plan a number of key areas need to be substantially complete. Our planning and interim fieldwork commenced in March 2024. A summary of this work along with progress is detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A review of the Council's control environment - In order to complete this we ask a number of questions of management covering laws and regulations, accounting estimates, risk management arrangements, going concern and fraud. Responses from management, when received will be included in a report and shared with Audit Committee. This document is know as 'Informing the Audit Risk Assessment'. This two-way communication assists both the auditor and the Audit Committee in understanding matters relating to the audit and developing a constructive working relationship. It also enables the auditor to obtain information relevant to the audit from the Audit Committee and supports the Audit Committee in fulfilling its responsibilities in relation to the financial reporting process. <li>• Documenting our understanding of your financial systems- this work is well progressed. We are liaising with the Councils finance team to conclude on final elements of this work <li>• Review of Internal Audit reports produced to date – this work is complete to date. We will update this review as further reports are finalised throughout the year. <li>• Review of overarching IT systems – this work is well progressed – this work is substantially complete. We have one query with the Council. <p>We are exploring elements of early substantive testing in relation to the 2023/24 audit. We are aware of the pressures on central finance and as such are discussing areas which have less direct involvement from that team. Our work in this area is progressing.</p>	Audit Plan	November 2024 *

*The planned dates are subject to national timetables, agreement with officers and unforeseen technical issues that may arise during the audit period.

Progress update

2023/24 progress update (continued)	Deliverable	Reporting timeline
<p>Audit Findings Report</p> <p>The Audit Findings Report will be reported to the Audit Committee.</p>	Audit Findings Report	March 2025 *
<p>Auditors Report</p> <p>This includes the opinion on your financial statements.</p>	Auditors Report	April 2025 *
<p>Auditor's Annual Report</p> <p>This report communicates the key outputs of the audit, including our commentary on the Council's value for money arrangements.</p>	Auditors Annual Report	March 2025 *

*The planned dates are subject to national timetables, agreement with officers and unforeseen technical issues that may arise during the audit period.

Audit Backstop - update

The Government consulted in February 2024 on a proposal to introduce a series of statutory backstops to bring the local audit system back on track. The proposals also included a series of updates to the NAO's Code of Audit Practice.

Our understanding was that the necessary regulations to enact the backstop legislation were due to be laid before Parliament prior to summer recess in July 2024. This would have enabled the legislative framework which would have enabled the 30 September 2024 backstop to be implemented.

The recent General Election puts this timetable in considerable doubt. The new Government will have to both decide if it wants to implement the backstop solution and if so, determine the timetable by which it happens. In the meantime, we will continue with the plan we have informed you of in respect of your audit which is to apply the statutory backstop for the financial years ended 31 March 2021, 31 March 2022 and 31 March 2023.

Audit sign off as at 31 May 2024

As at the end of May, we had signed 136 audits for 2022/23, representing 65% of our local government audits. This compares with a sign off rate for other all other firms at the end of May of 7% (18 audits).

We envisage achieving a 75% sign off rate by the end of September. If the backstop is extended to the end of the year – we envisage this figure moving to 80% completion.

We had signed off 81% of our 2021/22 audits by the end of May. We envisage achieving an 85% sign off rate by the end of September. Other firms had signed off 48% of audits by the end of May.

Audit year	Grant Thornton audits signed Position as at end of May 2024 (%)	Grant Thornton audits signed Forecast position end of Sep 2024 (%)	Other firms Position as at end of May 2024 (%)
2022-23	65	75	7
2021-22	81	85	48
2020-21	92	92	81

Local government elections – what do they mean for Audit Committees?

With the 2nd May 2024 local government elections having seen changes at many of the 107 local authorities that took part, for many local authorities it is now time to agree Audit Committee membership at the start of a new municipal year.

Whilst newly elected administrations will inevitably want to focus on new strategic vision and “fixing things”, it will be important to remember to continue to “defend things” and protect the underlying mechanics of effective government as well.

The Audit Committee is one of the key lines of defence for a local authority. Its members oversee governance, risk management, internal and external audit, anti-fraud arrangements, financial reporting and statutory duties.

Following the local elections there may be changes to appointments to the Audit Committee. Experience shows that the Committees are more effective when appointments are non-political; cover a range of relevant skills and experience (including financial expertise); and include at least one independent, co-opted member. Two independent co-opted members is generally considered best practice.

Once appointed, the new Audit Committee will need to build relationships with internal audit, external audit, and senior management. It may also want to review its terms of reference. Training needs of new Audit Committee members will also need to be identified and training provided.

Annual reviews of Audit Committee effectiveness are common, but for the early days, new Committees may wish to make use of the Local Government Association’s April 2024 “Ten Questions” to make sure they start by steering on the right path.

For a full copy of the Local Government Association’s guide, see [Ten questions for audit committees](#).

Ten questions for a newly formed Audit Committee

- **How can we be an effective Audit Committee?**
- **What might we miss as an Audit Committee?**
- **How will we get assurance for ourselves and others regarding governance, risk management, internal control, and the accuracy of financial reporting?**
- **What is management doing to ensure there is an effective culture?**
- **How does management support and promote the role of audit (internal and external)?**
- **How will management provide us with practical support?**
- **What is internal audit’s role, scope, and mandate? How should internal audit be resourced?**
- **How does internal audit set its audit plan? Is internal audit providing assurance around business-critical risks?**
- **How do we know we have an effective internal audit function?**
- **How should internal and external auditors work together to complement each other?**
- **What are the 2-3 things we should be most worried about?**

LGA Improvement and Assurance Framework

On 24 May 2024 the Local Government Association (LGA) published an Improvement and Assurance Framework which is applicable to unitary, county, district and borough councils in England, and to English authorities with all types of governance system.

There has not previously been a document or framework which sets out, in one place, the various required components of local government assurance, how they all fit together, how to use them effectively and what improvement support is available to help. This framework aims to:

- support councils to understand how to use the components within the framework and how they fit together;
- increase the effectiveness of assurance in the sector. While it cannot itself prevent failures, its use may reduce the risk – and costs – of statutory or non-statutory intervention, whether by Oflog, central government or other regulators;
- make it easier for local residents and businesses to understand how to hold their local authority to account.

The framework includes content on:

- A definition of assurance.
- How does accountability work?
- Components of the improvement and assurance framework.
- Working with auditors.
- Guidance on taking a structured and robust approach to considering commercial activity.

- Guidance for officers in key statutory roles and for key committees including the Audit Committee.
- How does the council hold itself to account?
- Key principles of good assurance and accountability.

The framework can be accessed here:

<https://www.local.gov.uk/publications/improvement-and-assurance-framework-local-government-0>



The State of the Locals

In April, shortly before the recent local government elections, a “State of the Locals” report found that public trust in government at all levels is falling, but that public trust in local councillors and the Local Authorities they run is still almost twice as strong as public trust in the national UK government.


The report, published by the Local Government Information Unit (LGIU), found that the public do recognise the role that Local Authorities and their members play and do credit them when things go well. However, the report also found that the public believe services have got worse over the last five years overall; believe Local Authorities play a significant role in this (although not as great a role as the national government); and do not always understand what Local Authorities do.

For new members joining local government for the first time and for the more experienced members starting or continuing their term, it’s worth reflecting on LGIU findings. From the surveys that LGIU carried out, detailed findings show that people feel residents should be included more within decision-making processes; but there remains a low level of public awareness about what local government does.

This may be a good time to revisit communications strategies. Educating the public about the role and functions of local government, as well as the outcomes that members are actually able to achieve, might not only protect trust at its current level above national government, but also pave the way for a stronger level of trust overall in the future.

For a full copy of the LGIU report, see [The State of the Locals 2024 - LGIU](#)

State of the Locals extract: Who do the public think are responsible?



Productivity plans – new guidelines revealed and a note of caution

On 16th April 2024, Local Government Minister Simon Hoare wrote to all Local Authority Chief Executives, asking them to formally begin compiling their productivity plans. Key things for members to be aware of are:

- There is no formal template and there are no specific metrics to report;
- Four categories are proposed for consideration. These surround resources; technology; reducing wasteful spend; and the barriers preventing progress;
- Metrics and performance indicators are expected to be included in the plans, but Local Authorities can decide for themselves which ones are relevant to include;
- Plans should be three to four pages long and need to be returned to DLUHC by 19th July 2024, with members having endorsed them first; and
- For transparency, plans should then be published on the Local Authority's website, so that residents can see them and, over time, monitor progress.

Whilst this may feel like additional burden, there will presumably be scope for using key performance indicator metrics already available.


The current intention of government is not to use Productivity Plans for rating or scoring or for league tables, but rather to inform policy considerations in the future. However, most Councils will remember that the Times recently used Office for Local Government data to compile and publish its own league table, so far without any redress from national government.

For Productivity Plan metrics, as for any other performance indicators, it will be important to be clear about what drives the metrics. Whether for a three-to-four-page productivity plan or for any other domain, simply obtaining data isn't enough. Understanding the data, explaining it to residents, and acting on it is ultimately what matters most.

For recent comments from the Local Government Lawyer on Simon Hoare's letter to Chief Executives and on the Times's league table see:

[Government reveals guidelines for new council 'productivity plans'](https://www.localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk/government-reveals-guidelines-for-new-council-productivity-plans/) ([localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk](https://www.localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk))

[Councils cry foul after Oflog data used for Times article on 'worst-performing councils'](https://www.localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk/councils-cry-foul-after-oflog-data-used-for-times-article-on-worst-performing-councils/) ([localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk](https://www.localgovernmentlawyer.co.uk))



Housing and homelessness – continuing crisis and a new reform

The Levelling-Up, Housing and Communities (LUHC) Committee published a report on the finances and sustainability of the social housing sector on 29th April 2024, arguing that the Government needs to deliver 90,000 more social homes for rent each year to alleviate the “continuing chronic shortage” of social housing.

Just one day later, latest quarterly statistics on statutory homelessness and households in temporary accommodation were released. They made for sobering reading when compared with equivalent quarterly statistics from the previous year, underlining the real affect that our shortage of housing is having:

- 4.8% increase in overall initial assessments for homelessness year on year;
- 15.8% increase in households owed a relief duty this year compared to last;
- 15.3% increase in households owed a main homelessness duty;
- 12.1% increase in households in temporary accommodation;
- 15% increase in households with children in temporary accommodation.

For short term responses to homelessness, the February 2024 announcement that the Government would top up local authority homelessness prevention grants by £109 million will doubtless be helpful, as perhaps could be some certainty around the future of no-fault eviction laws. For a long-term solution though, addressing the supply of housing stock itself may still the best means of addressing the root cause of homelessness issues.

A new reform came into effect on the same day that homelessness statistics were published. Since 30th April, Local Authorities have been empowered to buy land for development through using Compulsory Purchase Orders without paying inflated ‘hope value’ costs. ‘Hope value’ estimates the cost land could be worth if it was developed on in the future, often meaning that Local Authorities have been forced to pay potentially thousands more to buy the land they need for housing and/ or have become entrenched in protracted disputes.

Housing and homelessness are complex areas and there is unlikely to be any quick fix solution. The new reform may help stimulate the building activity needed to address at least one part of the problem though, and in this respect is likely to be welcome.

For a full copy of the LUHC Committee report, see

[The Finances and Sustainability of the Social Housing Sector \(parliament.uk\)](https://www.parliament.uk/publications/2024/04/levelling-up-housing-and-communities-committee-report-on-the-finances-and-sustainability-of-the-social-housing-sector)

For the latest statistics on homelessness and households in temporary accommodation, see [Statutory homelessness in England: October to December 2023 - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/statutory-homelessness-in-england-october-to-december-2023)

For details of the new reform around hope values, see [New powers for councils to help build more affordable homes - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/news/new-powers-for-councils-to-help-build-more-affordable-homes)

Design for life – the smart regeneration journey to 2030

Leading think tank Localis published an analysis of local regeneration policy on 8th May 2024, making a series of recommendations to national government around how best to develop the public realm over the rest of this decade.

Localis highlighted that local regeneration projects, particularly in urban areas, are key to addressing the national housing crisis; national net zero targets; and national health aspirations.

Recommendations that the report called on national government to implement included:

- Return to strategic regional planning;
- Establish regional planning offices;
- Provide single revenue and capital budgets to Local Authorities instead of splitting the budgets;
- Stop loosening regulation over council asset sales;
- Mandate that local and regional development plans will include carbon assessments and promote urban sites;
- Provide long term financial settlements, to reduce fiscal uncertainty and encourage public-private partnership; and
- For health and wellbeing aspects of regeneration, base additional funding on demographic profiles.

Localis described local authorities as “the hinge around which regeneration actors revolve.” Whilst their recommendations would require changes in national policy, there are best practice questions that Local Authorities can be asking themselves now:

- Is our planning department properly financed?
- Are our regeneration goals sufficiently balanced across housing, carbon and community health needs?
- Do we have effective ongoing relationships with partners so that we can leverage short term funds quickly when they do become available?
- Can we scale up capacity for regeneration by pooling leverage with local NHS bodies, the third sector and community organisations?

For a full copy of the Localis report, see <https://www.localis.org.uk/research/design-life-smart-regeneration-journey-2030/>



Simpler Recycling – new rules to be aware of

The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) announced on 9th May 2024 that simpler recycling rules are going to be introduced. Local Authorities are likely to be required to comply by 2026. The new rules aim to simplify recycling processes and boost recycling rates, although they have met with significant criticism.

To be ready for compliance with the new rules, Local Authorities need to prepare for:

Standardisation:

All Local Authorities in England will be required to collect a consistent set of recyclable materials: Plastics; Glass; Metals; Paper and Card; and Food Waste. The intention is to remove uncertainty and variation around the country about what can and cannot be recycled.

A three-bin arrangement:

'Dry recycling' items such as cardboard and paper, tins and glass will be collected in one bin. Organic waste (food and garden) will be collected in a second bin. Residual (non-recyclable) waste will be collected in a third.

Weekly and fortnightly requirements:

Food waste collection will be required weekly. Local Authorities will be required to collect residual waste on a fortnightly basis, even if at present they have already successfully transitioned to a three-weekly cycle.

Critics have pointed out that mixed dry recycling may contaminate paper and lead to less of it being recycled; whilst the move away from three weekly residual waste collection in favour of a fortnightly residual waste collection is likely to reduce recycling overall. Nevertheless, the reform has been passed and Local Authorities will need to be ready. Important steps to take now are:

- Review and update the Waste Management Strategy;
- Engage with finance business partners to ensure that activity will be accurately recorded and, in turn, translated to relevant new funding claims;
- Explore whether the changes in themselves generate new income opportunities; and
- Assess the readiness of contract delivery partners.

For DEFRA's comments on the reform, see [Simpler bin collections for England to boost recycling - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/simpler-bin-collections-for-england-to-boost-recycling)



Audit Committee resources

The Audit Committee and organisational effectiveness in local authorities (CIPFA):

<https://www.cipfa.org/services/support-for-audit-committees/local-authority-audit-committees>

LGA Regional Audit Forums for Audit Committee Chairs

These are convened at least three times a year and are supported by the LGA. The forums provide an opportunity to share good practice, discuss common issues and offer training on key topics. Forums are organised by a lead authority in each region. Please email ami.beeton@local.gov.uk LGA Senior Adviser, for more information.

Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/public-sector-internal-audit-standards>

Code of Audit Practice for local auditors (NAO):

<https://www.nao.org.uk/code-audit-practice/>

Governance risk and resilience framework: material for those with a leadership responsibility on good governance (CfGS):

<https://www.cfgs.org.uk/material-for-those-with-a-leadership-responsibility-on-good-governance/>

The Three Lines of Defence Model (IAA)

<https://www.theiia.org/globalassets/documents/resources/the-iias-three-lines-model-an-update-of-the-three-lines-of-defense-july-2020/three-lines-model-updated-english.pdf>

Risk Management Guidance / The Orange Book (UK Government):

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/orange-book>

CIPFA Guidance and Codes

The following all have a charge, so do make enquiries to determine if copies are available within your organisation.

Audit Committees: Practical Guidance For Local Authorities And Police

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/a/audit-committees-practical-guidance-for-local-authorities-and-police-2022-edition>

Delivering Good Governance in Local Government

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/d/delivering-good-governance-in-local-government-framework-2016-edition>

Financial Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/fmcode>

Prudential Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/the-prudential-code-for-capital-finance-in-local-authorities-2021-edition>

Treasury Management Code

<https://www.cipfa.org/policy-and-guidance/publications/t/treasury-management-in-the-public-services-code-of-practice-and-crosssectoral-guidance-notes-2021-edition>



© 2024 Grant Thornton UK LLP.

'Grant Thornton' refers to the brand under which the Grant Thornton member firms provide assurance, tax and advisory services to their clients and/or refers to one or more member firms, as the context requires. Grant Thornton UK LLP is a member firm of Grant Thornton International Ltd (GTIL). GTIL and the member firms are not a worldwide partnership. GTIL and each member firm is a separate legal entity. Services are delivered by the member firms. GTIL does not provide services to clients. GTIL and its member firms are not agents of, and do not obligate, one another and are not liable for one another's acts or omissions.